Title: Caregiver factors related to early childhood caries in immigrant families in a nurse-managed clinic

Comments:

1. The title cannot state the results of the study. As stated in purpose, the study is to determine knowledge, values and practices of caregives’ towards ECC. The findings show no between group difference but a general lack of knowledge in how to prevent ECC. The author should highlight this in the title.
2. The method, results, discussion and conclusion are not structurally presented. For example, page 9-10, the results of chi-square tests were never presented in the Results section. But actually this is the important findings as compared with the demographic results of U Test. Discussion should be the interpretation of your results and not the new statistical results.
3. Besides, page 10, paragraph 3, the interpretation of lanquage speaking is not logical in the contribution to ECC that I think the author should not include this.
4. The focus of the findings should be the knowledge, values and belief of parents towards ECC, but I can’t see much data analysis on this included in the paper.
5. Conclusion should not be that lengthy. Usually, conclusion should be a brief statement to summarize the key findings.
6. Most of the limitation and further study should be put in the end of the Discussion.
7. I think the data analysis should be revised and the whole manuscript should be reorganized for review.

Here, I would recommend “Reject”.